

1
2 MICHAEL W. LYNCH
3 Attorney for Defendant
4 24 N. 2nd St.
Yakima, WA 98901
(509)575-8961
5

6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No.: 4:15-CR-06049-EFS-18
9 Plaintiff,) AMENDED
10 vs.) MOTION TO REOPEN; IN THE
11 ALFREDO MAGANA GARIBAY,) ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO
12 Defendant) WITHDRAW WAIVER OF
13) DETENTION HEARING
14) Hearing: 6/22/2017 @ 12:00 p.m.
15) Richland
16) (with oral argument)

17 COMES NOW the defendant, through counsel, and moves to withdraw the
waiver of detention hearing that was made by oral motion to the court on 6/15/17;
or, in the alternative, to reopen the hearing.

18 Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2) a detention hearing may be reopened “at any
19 time before trial,” based upon new information relevant to the issue of the court’s
20 detention order. In this case, counsel for the defendant incorrectly believed that ICE
21 could detain and remove an alien involved in a pending criminal case upon his
22 release. Following the morning hearing on this date, defense counsel attended a
23 CLE program at the Yakima Federal Defender’s Office entitled “Fixing Bail:
24
25

MOTION TO REOPEN DETENTION HEARING -1

MICHAEL W. LYNCH, P.S.
ATTORNEY AT LAW
24 N. 2ND ST.
YAKIMA, WA 98901
(509) 575-8961

1 Correcting Reoccurring Misconceptions in Court.” Defense counsel’s
2 misconception was corrected.
3

4 No alien can be removed if it would be prejudicial to the United States. 8
5 CFR § 215.3(g). Removal of any alien involved in a pending criminal case would
6 be prejudicial to the interests of the United States. 8 CFR § 287.7(a). (A detainer
7 advises other law enforcement agencies that ICE seeks custody “for the purpose of
8 arresting and removing the alien.” *U.S. v. Santos-Flores*, 794 F.3d 1088, 1092 (9th
9 Cir. 2015):

10 The government may also exercise its judgment that the public
11 interest in criminally prosecuting an alien is greater than the public
12 interest in swiftly removing him. The government may, therefore,
13 elect to deliver the alien to the United States Attorney's Office for
14 prosecution, as it did here, instead of removing him immediately
15 pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a)(5). Having made this choice,
16 however, the government may not use its discretionary power of
removal to trump a defendant's right to an individualized
determination under the Bail Reform Act.

17 Id., p. 1092. *U.S. v. Trujillo-Alvarez*, 900 F. Supp. 2d 1167 (D. Or. 2012).
18

19 The defendant is entitled to a detention hearing with the assistance of
20 knowledgeable counsel, and requests that the hearing be reopened.
21

DATED: 6/15/17

22 /S/MICHAEL W. LYNCH
MICHAEL W. LYNCH, WSBA 6820
23 Attorney for Defendant
24

25 MOTION TO REOPEN DETENTION HEARING -2

MICHAEL W. LYNCH, P.S.
ATTORNEY AT LAW
24 N. 2ND ST.
YAKIMA, WA 98901
(509) 575-8961

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on 6/16/17, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send notification of such filing to the following: STEPHANIE VAN MARTER, AUSA; and I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service the document to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

N/A

/S/ MICHAEL W. LYNCH
MICHAEL W. LYNCH, WSBA 6820
Attorney for Defendant
24 N. 2nd St.
Yakima WA 98901
Telephone: (509) 575-8961
Fax: (509) 575-1426
Email: mwlynch@yvn.com

MOTION TO REOPEN DETENTION HEARING -3

**MICHAEL W. LYNCH, P.S.
ATTORNEY AT LAW
24 N. 2ND ST.
YAKIMA, WA 98901
(509) 575-8961**